From: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
Sent: 6/8/2020 11:27:16 PM
To:

CC: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Subject: RE: Prioritization Conversation Follow Up

Hello Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Agreed. Cases already in progress (with an updated assessment ongoing or folder filling already started) should continue regardless of an alteration to prioritization of cases that haven't started yet.

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

OPPT\Risk Assessment Division (RAD)

From: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2020 5:50 PM
To: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
Cc: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
Subject: RE: Prioritization Conversation Follow Up

Hello Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

I assume that work that is already underway to update the human health risk assessment for a case will be completed, correct? That is, the prioritization/sub-prioritization won't result in an assessment that is already underway being stopped in the middle.

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

From: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2020 4:31 PM
To: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
Cc: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Subject: RE: Prioritization Conversation Follow Up

Hello Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

I added some text on how the backlog team in general would view sub-bin prioritization.

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

OPPT\Risk Assessment Division (RAD)

From: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2020 10:35 AM

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Subject: Prioritization Conversation Follow Up

Good morning all,
Below are the outline and notes from the prioritization meeting between CCD and RAD last week. The red text are follow up items. Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns.

Big picture is to have CCD bin cases into a few categories that are in a set order for RAD to work on. Then have sub-bins that RAD can use to determine priorities within each bin.

CCD Bins (Expected CCD Regulatory Outcome – barring any surprises in the updated health report)
1. Expected NL Based On SNUR – Cases that you expect will be a Based On SNUR
2. Expected Order (with company agreement) – Cases that you expect will be an order and the company has already agreed to an order (typically cases with eco exceedances)
3. Expected Not Likely (straight/follow up SNUR) – Cases that you believe will be a not likely and does not have reasonably foreseen uses (typically those with low hazard and/or low exposure)
4. Other – Cases that do not have an obvious path on what may come out of updated reports (typically any cases that need more work than just a health report or no idea on how the health assessment will end up – should be a decent percentage of cases)

Priority between expected orders and expected NL (straight/follow up SNUR) needs to be run past [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)] We need more time to complete consent orders, so in order to have them done by the end of the fiscal year, we need the assessments earlier. However, if we only work on orders at first, June or July may not have as many completed cases, but we should have a lot more completed cases in August and September as we will be getting COs back and Not Likelies signed.

Possible information for RAD to use to prioritize within each CCD bin (already on the Backlog Tracker) – RAD, please share how you plan to sub-prioritize within the bins

1. Low Hanging Fruit
2. LOE (from lowest to highest)→ human health focused
   a. Lung tox cases and consumer cases with sensitization may be difficult
   b. Need to balance case load between HH hazard assessors and exposure assessors that are completing HH risk assessment updates
3. DRM date (oldest to newest)

Extra Discussion Items
1. Prioritization information is included in current tracking sheets or a separate sheet?
   a. Backlog case tracker only. Dedicated team prioritizes under 90 day cases & rework on just past OPPT IO cases, then backlog (not going to use the bins). Columns were added to the backlog case tracker and PMs will be asked to fill out the bins by COB Tuesday.
2. Rework of a recently completed case (as long as no new information) should be at the front of the line?
   a. Hard to keep track of what needs rework and how much, but agree that anything that gets past IO should be on the top of the list – Agreed
3. Narrow the definition of a Hair on Fire case that gets to jump the line - Only cases raised by OCSPP IO as necessary to complete immediately.

a. Who should be in charge of stating this? ☐ Should it be in the "Expected CCD Regulatory Outcome" on the spreadsheet or just word of mouth? If on the spreadsheet who is allowed to change it to Hair on Fire (CCD PM, CCD management, RAD management)?

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention
Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics
Chemical Control Division
New Chemicals Management Branch

♻ Please do not print this email unless absolutely necessary ♻

WARNING - Do not e-mail any Confidential Business Information (CBI) to EPA